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The lateral motion of a tape moving axially over a cylindrical guide surface is investigated. The effects of lateral bending stiffness and friction force are studied and the attenuation of lateral tape motion as a function of the guide radius and friction coefficient is determined. Good agreement between numerical predictions and experimental results is observed. [DOI: 10.1115/1.2723823]
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1 Introduction

Lateral Motion of (LTM) is the time-dependent displacement of magnetic tape perpendicular to the tape transport direction. Lateral tape motion causes track misregistration between the read/write head and a previously written track, thereby limiting the track density that can be achieved [1]. In order to increase the track density further, the lateral displacement of tape must be decreased [2].

Several researchers have studied the dynamic behavior and vibrations of a moving web between rollers [3–11]. The effect of guides on the lateral tape motion has been studied by only a few researchers. Ono [12] described the lateral displacement of an axially moving string on a cylindrical guide surface. Bending stiffness was not included in his model. He showed that the lateral motion was governed by a second-order differential equation similar to that for one-dimensional heat flow. More recently, O’Reilly and Varadi [13] studied the dynamics of a closed loop of inextensible string which is undergoing an axial motion and of which one point is in contact with a singular supply of momentum. Taylor and Talke [14] investigated the interactions between rollers and flexible tape and showed that friction between the tape and the roller affects the lateral displacement of tape.

In this paper we have studied the lateral motion of magnetic tape as it moves over a stationary guide. We have included the effect of bending stiffness, since the area moment of the tape for the transverse direction is very large.

2 Theoretical Study

2.1 Lateral Tape Motion Including Bending Stiffness

In Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), a section of tape is shown as it moves over a cylindrical guide surface. Vector M denotes the position of a point on the centerline of the tape between boundary points s1 and s2 in a fixed cylindrical coordinate system rθz with unit vectors er, eθ, ez.

To model the system, we make the following simplifying assumptions:

1) The magnitude of the friction force is proportional to the normal force, F = μN.
2) The lateral tape motion is sufficiently small so that only the first-order terms of the lateral displacement and the derivatives with respect to space or time must be retained.
3) Deformation of the tape is assumed small in the lateral direction (θ small). Bernoulli-Euler assumptions are employed.
4) The tape moves at a constant speed v0. Hence, dθ/2 = 0.

The forces acting on a small element ds of tape are the tension force T, the normal force N, the friction force F, and the shear force V. Expressing dT, N, and F in r, θ, z coordinates, we obtain

\[
dT(s) =\left[\frac{d}{ds}T \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - Ta \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + Ta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \right] e_\theta + \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} - Ta \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + Ta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\right) ds e_z
\]

\[
N = Ne_r,
\]

\[
F = - N a \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}
\]

where r is the spatial coordinate along the tape centerline, a is the radius of the cylindrical guide, and μa and μθ are the friction coefficient in the circumferential direction and the z direction, respectively. T is the magnitude of the tension vector and t represents the time. In addition, dV can be shown to be (Appendix)

\[
dV(s) = \left[2EI \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right] k e_r - \left[2EI \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \right] e_r - \left[2EI \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial s}
\]

Using equilibrium of forces, we have

\[
\rho w ds \frac{d^2 M}{dt^2} = dT + F ds + N ds + dV
\]

where ρ is the tape density and w is the tape width. Introducing (1)–(4) in (5), one can obtain equations for the er, eθ, and k directions. We assume that v0 is constant. In addition, we assume that the local stress change in the tape can be neglected and that the LTM is small. Hence, we can write that

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = - \sin \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \approx 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial s^2} = - \sin \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \leq 1
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} = \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \approx 0
\]

For a typical tape transport speed v0 = 4 m/s and tension T = 1 N, we can neglect the inertia terms ρω^2 versus the tension T for a
Numerical Solution

To solve Eq. (6), an implicit Euler finite difference scheme was implemented. As illustrated in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), the tape makes contact with the cylindrical guide at point $s_1$ and comes off the cylindrical guide at point $s_2$. We assume that the tape is wound on a reel with zero run-out at $s_0$, i.e., $z(s_0, t) = 0$. At $s_0$, the lateral displacement $f_0(t)$ is assumed to be known from experimental measurements, i.e., $z(s_0, t) = f_0(t)$. In addition we postulate that the tape moves like a rigid body between $s_0$ and $s_1$ and $s_2$ and $s_3$, where it is not supported by the guide. The distances $l_1 = |s_1 s_0|$ and $l_3 = |s_3 s_2|$ are taken much shorter than the distance $l_2 = |s_1 s_2|$.

The solution of Eq. (6) for the domain $s_1, s_2$ requires four boundary conditions. The slope of the tape at $s=s_1$ must be equal to the slope at $s=s_3$ and the slope of the tape at $s=s_2$ must be equal to the slope at $s=s_3$. Thus,

$$l_2 \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} - (z - f_0(t)) = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad s = s_1 \tag{7}$$

and

$$l_3 \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} + z = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad s = s_2 \tag{8}$$

In addition, the curvature at $s_1$ and $s_2$ has to be zero to insure a smooth tape path, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial s^2} \bigg|_{s_1, s_2} = 0 \tag{9}$$

Experimental Validation and Discussion

4.1 Apparatus. To allow a comparison of numerical results with experimental data, the apparatus shown in Fig. 2 was used. The setup consists of a tape moving from a supply reel to a take-up reel at $\ddot{v}_0 = 4$ m/s over a cylindrical guide with a radius of $a = 10$ mm. The nominal tape tension $T = 1$ N. The run-out of the supply reel creates LTM in the tape path. A cutout was provided in the cylinder, for the placement of a lateral tape motion edge sensor [1] (LTM A in Fig. 2(a)), mounted on a linear microstage for vertical positioning. Additionally, we measured the LTM as close as possible to the point where the tape makes contact with the guide (LTM B in Fig. 2(b)) and used this as input (boundary condition) $z(s_0, t) = f_0(t)$ for our numerical model.
4.2 Experimental Results. To verify our model, we have compared the values of numerically calculated lateral tape motion in the middle of the cylindrical guide with experimentally measured LTM values at the same position. Figure 3(a) shows simulated and experimentally measured values of lateral tape displacement in the middle of the cylindrical guide, while Fig. 3(b) shows the simulated and experimentally determined frequency spectrum at the same position. In our simulation we have used the following parameters: \( E = 7 \text{ GPa} \), \( w = 12.7 \text{ mm} \), \( \rho = 0.012 \text{ kg/m}^2 \), \( v_0 = 4 \text{ m/s} \), \( a = 10 \text{ mm} \), \( T = 1 \text{ N} \), \( \nu = 1 \), and tape thickness \( b = 9 \mu\text{m} \). These values represent typical values for state-of-the-art magnetic tapes [15,16]. From Fig. 3 we observe good agreement between experimental measurements and numerical results, especially in the low frequency region. The increased deviation between experimental measurements and the numerical predictions for increasing frequencies is most likely related to the presence of the cutout in the stationary guide for positioning of the lateral tape displacement sensor.

4.3 Bending Stiffness. The path of a tape with bending stiffness on a cylindrical guide is different from the path followed by a string. Figure 4 shows the maximum displacement \( d \) that the tape trajectory deviates from a straight line, versus tape thickness, as a function of Young’s modulus.

The results in Fig. 4(a) are for a cylindrical guide with a radius of 100 mm, while the results in Fig. 4(b) are for a cylindrical guide with a radius of 10 mm. We note that the vertical plotting scale for both pictures is different. We observe that the maximum displacement \( d \) decreases with increasing Young’s modulus and increasing tape thickness, i.e., \( d \) decreases with increasing bending stiffness. In addition, the maximum deviation \( d \) decreases with decreasing guide radius.

4.4 Effect of a Guide in the Tape Path. In order to investigate the effect of a guide on the lateral tape displacement, we have calculated the amplitude ratio of the lateral tape displacement at positions \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \). A sine wave with constant frequency was applied as an input at \( s=s_1 \) and the output at \( s=s_2 \) was simulated. For each frequency, the ratio of output to input amplitude was calculated. Figure 5(a) shows the amplitude ratio for a constant friction coefficient \( \mu_s=0.3 \) as a function of frequency and guide radius \( a \), while Fig. 5(b) shows the amplitude ratio for a guide radius of 200 mm for different friction coefficients.

We observe that the amplitude ratio decreases with increasing guide diameter and with increasing friction coefficient. By positioning guides in the tape path at specific positions, high frequency LTM could be filtered out before the tape moves over the magnetic read/write head. Lateral tape motion above 500 Hz cannot be followed by the servo system of the magnetic read/write head. When the amplitude of high frequency lateral tape motion is larger than 10% of the track width, read/write errors are likely to
occur. Thus, reducing high frequency lateral tape motion before it reaches the head is desirable since it would allow narrower tracks, resulting in higher track density.

The tape model with bending stiffness also has an important application in the tape slitting process where lateral tape displacement has to be minimized in order to manufacture tapes with sufficiently “straight” edges for servo track writing. If the radius of the guides that transport the tape is increased, the amplitude of the LTM is attenuated more strongly and the edge quality of the tape produced during the slitting process should be improved. Thus, optimization of the tape path by increasing the diameter of the guides should be considered in tape slitting machines to improve the quality of future tapes.

5 Conclusion

The results obtained in this paper show that:

1. Bending stiffness is an important parameter in describing the lateral displacement of a tape on a cylindrical guide surface. When modeling a tape, shear forces have to be included.

2. The effect of a cylindrical guide in the tape path can be characterized by the amplitude ratio of output and input lateral tape displacement. The amplitude ratio depends on the friction coefficient and on the contact length between tape and guide surface, i.e., the guide radius and the wrap angle. An increase in the guide radius of the tape guide or an increase in the friction coefficient between the guide surface and the tape improves the damping of both low and high LTM frequencies.

3. The bending stiffness of the tape affects its trajectory over the cylindrical guide. As the bending stiffness increases, the tape trajectory deviates less from a straight line.

Appendix

The shear force vector $V$ with respect to the $r, \phi, z$ coordinate system can be written as

$$ V = V_r e_r + V_\phi e_\phi + V_z e_z $$

The unit shear vector $v$ can be written as

$$ v = \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} e_\phi - \frac{a \partial \phi}{\partial s} k $$

thus,

$$ \frac{\partial v}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial s^2} e_\phi - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} k $$

Combining (A1)–(A3) with $\delta V(s) = V_\phi ds + V_z ds$, $V_r = \frac{a \delta \phi}{\partial s}$, and $C = EI \frac{r^3}{3}$, yields Eq. (4).
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