Quantum Manifestations of Graphene Edge Stress and Edge Instability: A First-Principles Study
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We have performed first-principles calculations of graphene edge stresses, which display two interesting quantum manifestations absent from the classical interpretation: the armchair edge stress oscillates with a nanoribbon width, and the zigzag edge stress is noticeably reduced by spin polarization. Such quantum stress effects in turn manifest in mechanical edge twisting and warping instability, showing features not captured by empirical potentials or continuum theory. Edge adsorption of H and Stone-Wales reconstruction are shown to provide alternative mechanisms in relieving the edge compression and hence to stabilize the planar edge structure.

Graphene, a two-dimensional (2D) single layer of carbon atoms, has attracted tremendous attention because of its unique electronic properties [1] and potential applications in electronic devices [2]. Earlier studies have focused on characterizing the unusual electronic and transport properties of graphene, particularly as a massless Dirac fermion system [1,2]. Some recent attention has been shifted to the structural stability of graphene [2–5]. On the one hand, as a 2D membrane structure, graphene provides an ideal testing ground [3,4] for the classical Mermin-Wagner theorem on the existence of long-range crystalline order in 2D [6,7]. On the other hand, the free edges of graphene are amenable to edge instabilities [5,8–10]. The graphene edge stability is defined by two fundamental thermodynamic quantities: edge energy and edge stress. The edge of a 2D structure can be understood in analogy to the surface of a 3D structure [11,12]: the edge (surface) energy accounting for the energy cost to create an edge (surface) defines the edge (surface) chemical stability; the edge (surface) stress accounting for the energy cost to deform an edge (surface) defines the edge (surface) mechanical stability. First-principles calculations showed that chemically the armchair edge is more stable with a lower energy, while the zigzag edge is metastable against reconstruction [8]. Empirical-potential calculations showed that both intrinsic edges are under compressive stress rendering a mechanical edge twisting and warping instability [10].

Usually, stress and mechanical instability are understood as phenomena of classical mechanics, but they are expected to be affected by quantum effects which become prominent at nanoscale. So far, however, quantum effects have been mostly shown for electronic structure and energetic quantities of low-dimensional nanostructures. Here, we demonstrate an interesting example of quantum manifestations of mechanical quantities in graphene edge stress. Using first-principles calculations, we predict that the armchair edge stress in a nanoribbon exhibits a large oscillation with ribbon width arising from quantum size effect, while the zigzag edge stress is reduced by spin polarization. Such quantum effects on edge stress in turn manifest in graphene edge mechanical instability, with “quantum” features that apparently cannot be described by empirical potentials or continuum theory.

Our calculations were performed using the density functional theory (DFT) method as implemented in the VASP code [13]. The supercell technique was adopted to model the graphene nanoribbons (GNR), with a vacuum layer >15 Å. We used a plane-wave energy cutoff of 500 eV and optimized structure until the atomic forces converged to <10 meV/Å. The edge energy is calculated as $E_{\text{edge}} = (E_{\text{ribbon}} - E_{\text{atom}})/2L$, where $E_{\text{ribbon}}$ is the total energy of the graphene nanoribbon, $E_{\text{atom}}$ is the energy per atom in a perfect graphene, and $L$ is the length of edge. The edge stress is calculated as $\sigma_{\text{edge}} = \sigma_{xx}/2$, where $\sigma_{xx}$ is the diagonal component of supercell stress tensor in the x-direction (defined along the edge), which is calculated using the Nielsen-Martin algorithm [14]. All other components of stress tensor vanish. We note that DFT is suitable for calculating ground-state properties of lattice energies and stresses, to which the nonlocal many-body effects are not important.

Figure 1 shows the edge energy and edge stress of armchair edges as a function of ribbon width from ~3.5 to 48 Å. One notices that both edge energy and edge stress oscillate with the increasing width having a period of 3 but out of phase with each other. The oscillations are originated from the quantum confinement effect, as seen in the similar oscillations of electron band structures [15–18]. The oscillation of edge energy decays quickly with the increasing width and converges to ~1.0 eV/Å, which agrees well with the previous first-principles values [8]. In contrast, the oscillation of edge stress decays much slower with a mean value of ~ 1.45 eV/Å (using negative sign as convention for compressive stress). The much
Thus, we have calculated the spin dependence of the AFM ground state \[17\]. The AFM edge energy is calculated to be \(-85/C_23A\). However, there are also some significant differences. Two quantum manifestations of edge stress stand out, which are absent from the empirical predictions. One is the quantum oscillation of armchair edge stress, and the other is the spin reduction of zigzag edge stress. The physical origin of edge energy and edge stress is associated with the formation of one dangling bond on each edge atom. The repulsive interaction between the dangling bonds is believed to be one origin for the “compressive” edge stress. In addition, in the armchair edge, it is well known \[20\] that the edge dimers form triple -C≡C- bonds with a much shorter distance \((\sim 1.23\text{ Å according to our calculation})\) adding extra compressive stress to the edge, while in the zigzag edge, spin polarization further reduces the compressive stress. Consequently, the armchair edge has a much larger compressive stress \((-1.45\text{ eV/Å})\) than the zigzag edge \((-0.5\text{ eV/Å})\), in contrast to the empirical prediction of a smaller compressive stress in the armchair edge \((-1.05\text{ eV/Å})\) than in the zigzag edge \((-2.05\text{ eV/Å})\) \[10\].

The quantum effects in edge stress will in turn modify the mechanical edge instability. The compressive edge stress means the edge has a tendency to stretch. If we apply a uniaxial in-plane strain to a nanoribbon along the edge direction, the strain energy can be calculated as \[10\]

\[ E_{st} = 2\tau_e L e + E_r L e^2 + \frac{1}{2} E_e A e^2. \] (1)

Here, \(A\) is the ribbon area, \(L\) is the edge length, \(\tau_e\) is the edge stress, \(E_r\) is the 1D edge elastic modulus in a 2D nanoribbon, in analogy to the 2D surface elastic modulus in a 3D nanofilm \[21\], and \(E_e\) is the 2D sheet elastic modulus. Since \(\tau_e\) is negative, for small enough tensitional strain \(e\) (positive), the negative first term (linear to \(e\)) in Eq. (1) can always overcome the positive second and third terms (quadratic to \(e\)) to make \(E_{st}\) negative. So, the ribbon is unstable against a small amount of stretching along the edge direction. Fitting first-principles calculations, by manually deforming the sheet and ribbon along the edge direction, to Eq. (1), we obtained \(E_r = 21.09\text{ eV/Å}^2\), \(E_r(\text{armchair}) = 3\text{ eV/Å}\) and \(E_r(\text{zigzag}) = 24\text{ eV/Å}\) with \(\tau_e\) already calculated directly (see Figs. 1 and 2). Our \(E_r\) value is in good agreement with the experiment \[22\] and empirical result \[10\], but \(E_e\) are notably different from the empirical results \[10\].

Another effective way to stretch the edge of a 2D sheet is by out-of-plane edge twisting and warping motions, which are barrierless processes. For example, assuming a sinusoidal edge warping with displacement \(\mu_x = a \sin(2\pi x/\lambda)\) of amplitude \(a\) and wavelength \(\lambda\), which decays exponentially into the sheet as \(e^{-y/\ell}\) (See inset of
where $l$ is the decay length, Shenoy et al. have shown that minimization of strain energy leads to characteristic length scales of such warping instability as $l = 0.23\AA$ and $a = \sqrt{(-\lambda \tau_e)/(1.37E_b + 14.8E_f/\lambda)}$. Using their empirical-potential values of $\tau_e$, $E_c$ and $E_s$, they estimated that the warping magnitude of armchair edge is smaller than that of zigzag edge, and both are larger than typical thermal fluctuations [10].

Our first-principles predictions, however, are different in several ways. First, absent from empirical prediction, the quantum oscillation of $\tau_e$ of armchair edge gives rise to an oscillating armchair edge warping amplitude for given wavelength as a function of nanoribbon width, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Second, the mechanical undulation of zigzag edges induced by compressive edge stress is comparable to thermal fluctuations [3,4], as shown in Fig. 3(b), and hence, the two are difficult to distinguish.

Because the compressive edge stress is partly originated from the dangling bond, naturally, we may saturate the dangling bonds to relieve the compressive stress. We have tested this idea by saturating the edge with H that indeed confirmed our physical intuition. For armchair edge in a 1-nm wide ribbon, we found H saturation changes the edge stress from $-1.42$ eV/Å to $-0.35$ eV/Å; for zigzag edge in a 2.0-nm wide ribbon, it changes the edge stress from $-0.42$ eV/Å to $+0.13$ eV/Å. Thus, the H edge saturation, or saturation by other molecules in general, is expected to relieve the edge compression and even reverse the compressive stress in a zigzag edge to tensile.

Surface reconstruction has long been known as an effective mechanism in relieving surface stress [23]. Thus, we have also investigated possible edge reconstructions in relieving the edge compressive stress. The Stone-Wales (SW) defect [24] appealed to us because a SW defect in 2D is equivalent to a dislocation core in 3D that is known as a common stress relieve mechanism. Figure 4(a) shows the calculated armchair edge stress along with edge energy as a function of one type of SW defect (7-5-7 ring structure) concentration. Figure 4(b) shows an example of the optimized edge structure at the 50% defect concentration. The edge stress increases linearly from compressive to tensile with the increasing SW defect concentration. The most stable edge structure is at $\lambda = 25\%$ defect concentration where the edge stress is very small and slightly compressive. A small stress value indicates that this chemically stable edge structure (with the lowest edge energy) is also most mechanically stable against deformation.

Figure 5(a) shows the ground state AF zigzag edge stress along with edge energy as a function of another type of SW
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In conclusion, quantum effects have been widely shown
to exist generally in many low-dimensional nanostructures. We demonstrate, in addition, quantum manifestations of mechanical quantities in graphene edge stress. We show that quantum confinement can lead to stress oscillations, and spin polarization can reduce stress, which in turn “quantum mechanically” modifies the edge twisting and warping instability. We further show that H edge saturation and SW edge reconstruction can not only improve the “chemical” stability of graphene edges by lowering the edge energy, but also enhance their “me-
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Note added in proof.— Another empirical calculation [26] was published. Although it cannot capture the quantum effects of our study, its calculated edge stress agrees better with first-principles results than Ref. [10] and showed also edge saturation effect.
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FIG. 5 (color online). (a) The zigzag edge stresses (with linear fit) and edge energies as a function of SW defect concentration. (b) The optimized ribbon structure and spin charge density (charge density difference between spin-up and spin-down states in units of \( \mu_B \) \( \text{eV} / \text{Å}^2 \) of the AFM ground state at the 50% SW defect concentration.
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