# **Event Extraction**

Identifying descriptions of complex events and extracting the role fillers associated with each incident.

#### EVENT

#### ROLES

Terrorist act Natural disaster Plane crash Management changes

Disease outbreaks

perpetrator, victims, target natural force, victims, damage vehicle, victims, cause person leaving, position, successor, organization disease, victims, symptoms, containment measures

# Event Roles vs. Named Entity Recognition

*Named Entity Recognition* = identifying types of entities *Event Roles* = identifying entities that play a specific role with respect to an event

Paul Nelson killed John Smith. Paul Nelson was killed by John Smith.

IBM purchased Microsoft. IBM was purchased by Microsoft. IBM was purchased on Tuesday by Microsoft.

#### **Event Extraction OUTPUT: filled event INPUT: document** template EVENT: *bombing* December 29. Pakistan - The TARGET: U.S. embassy U.S. embassy in Islamabad was LOCATION: Islamabad damaged this morning by a car DATE: December 29 bomb. Three diplomats were WEAPON: car bomb injured in the explosion. Al Qaeda has claimed VICTIMS: three diplomats responsibility for the attack. PERPETRATOR: Al Qaeda

### **Event Template for Terrorist Acts**

| Date                     |
|--------------------------|
| Location                 |
| Event type               |
| Weapon                   |
| Perpetrator individual   |
| Perpetrator organization |
| Physical target          |
| Physical target effect   |
| Human target             |
| Human target effect      |

<date> <location> <set fill> <string list> <string list> <string list> <set fill> <set fill>

### Filled Event Template for Terrorist Acts

Date Location Event type Weapon Perpetrator individual Perpetrator organization Physical target Physical target Human target Human target effect 10 January 1990 El Salvador: San Salvador (city) BOMBING *"highpower bombs" "guerrilla urban commandos"* 

*"car dealership"* some damage

no injury or death

# Event Template for Disease Outbreaks

| <document id=""></document> |
|-----------------------------|
| <template id=""></template> |
| <date></date>               |
| OUTBREAK                    |
| <set fill=""></set>         |
| <set fill=""></set>         |
| <set fill=""></set>         |
| <string list=""></string>   |
| <string></string>           |
|                             |

# Filled Event Template for Disease Outbreaks

| Story:       | 20020714.4756                            |
|--------------|--|
| ID:          | 1  |
| Date:        | August 14, 2002                          |
| Event:       | OUTBREAK                                 |
| Status:      | confirmed                                |
| Containment: | none                                     |
| Country:     | Switzerland                              |
| Victims:     | the 27 reported cases                    |
| Disease:     | Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease / [sporadic]   |
|              | Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) / CJD /  |
|              | Sporadic CJD / hereditary dominant CJD / |
|              | Swiss CJD / sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob   |
|              | disease                                  |

## Unstructured vs. Semi-structured Text

*Unstructured text* depends 100% on language understanding. *Semi-structured text* has some visual structure (layout) that can aid in understanding.

#### **Unstructured Text**

Professor John Skvoretz, U. of South Carolina, Columbia, will present a seminar entitled "Embedded Commitment," on Thursday, May 4th from 4-5:30 in PH 223D.

#### Semi-Structured Text

Laura Petitte Department of Psychology McGill University

Thursday, May 4, 1995 12:00 pm Baker Hall 355

## Another Semi-Structured Seminar Announcement

Name: Dr. Jeffrey D. Hermes Affiliation: Department of AutoImmune Diseases Research & Biophysical Chemistry Merch Research Laboratories Title: "MHC Class II: A Target for Specific Immunomodulation of the Immune Response" Host/e-mail: Robert Murphy Date: Wednesday, May 3, 1995 Time: 3:30 p.m. Place: Mellon Institute Conference Room Sponsor: MERCK RESEARCH LABORATORIES

#### IE in the Wild: ProMed Disease Outbreak Reports

EBOLA HEMORRHAGIC FEVER - UGANDA (09)

A ProMED-mail post ProMED-mail, a program of ISID <http://www.promedmail.org>

#### [see also:

Ebola hemorrhagic fever - Uganda20001016.1769Ebola hemorrhagic fever - Uganda (08)20001022.1826]

#### . ..

Date: Sun, 22 Oct 2000 22:18:31 -0200 From: ProMED-mail spomed@promedmail.org> Source: WHO Disease Outbreaks Report, Sun 21 Oct 2000 [edited] <<u>http://www.who</u>.int/disease-outbreak-news/>

[HEADLINE : 1 line]

[TEXT : 11 lines]

[2]

Date: Sun, 22 Oct 2000 22:18:31 -0200 From: ProMED-mail <promed@promedmail.org> Source: WHO Disease Outbreaks Report, Sun 21 Oct 2000 [edited] <http://www.who.int/disease-outbreak-news/>

[HEADLINE : 1 line]

[TEXT : 3 lines]

[PROMED DISCLAIMER : 22 lines]

#### Headline and Text Portions:

Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever In Uganda - Update 5

As of Sat 21 Oct 2000, the Ugandan Ministry of Health has reported 139 cases including 51 deaths. The increase of 17 cases in the last 24 hours reflects the intensified active surveillance.

A team from the WHO Collaborating Centre at the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), United States is establishing a field diagnostic laboratory in Gulu district. The last laboratory equipment arrived Sat 20 Oct 2000 and the laboratory is expected to be operational shortly. A WHO information officer from Geneva arrived in Uganda on Wed 18 Oct 2000 and is based in Gulu district. He is working with the Ugandan Ministry of Health as media focal point.

Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever In Uganda - Update 6

As of Sun 22 Oct 2000, the Ugandan Ministry of Health has reported 149 cases, including 54 deaths. [This represents an increase of 10 cases and 3 deaths in the last 24 hours. - Mod.CP]

#### Headline and Text Portions:

Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever In Uganda - Update 5

As of Sat 21 Oct 2000, the Ugandan Ministry of Health has reported 139 cases including 51 deaths. The increase of 17 cases in the last 24 hours reflects the intensified active surveillance.

A team from the WHO Collaborating Centre at the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), United States is establishing a field diagnostic laboratory in Gulu district. The last laboratory equipment arrived Sat 20 Oct 2000 and the laboratory is expected to be operational shortly. A WHO information officer from Geneva arrived in Uganda on Wed 18 Oct 2000 and is based in Gulu district. He is working with the Ugandan Ministry of Health as media focal point.

Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever In Uganda - Update 6

As of Sun 22 Oct 2000, the Ugandan Ministry of Health has reported 149 cases, including 54 deaths. [This represents an increase of 10 cases and 3 deaths in the last 24 hours. - Mod.CP]

## Text Annotations for Event Extraction



## Patterns/Rules vs. Sequence Tagging

Two general approaches to event extraction:

*Pattern-based systems* use patterns or rules which identify phrases that should be extracted for each event role.

*Machine learning classifiers* label individual tokens indicating whether they should be extracted, and if so, what role they play.



## Example of Patterns

[Alleged guerrilla urban commandos] <u>launched</u> Subject = perpetrator

highpower bombs against a car dealership in downtown

San Salvador this morning .

# **Example of Patterns**

Alleged guerrilla urban commandos launched

[highpower bombs] against a car dealership in downtown DirectObj = instrument

San Salvador this morning .

# Example of Patterns

Alleged guerrilla urban commandos launched

### highpower <u>bombs against</u> [a car dealership] in downtown PP(against) = target

San Salvador this morning .

# IE as Sequence Tagging

- Event extraction can be modeled as a sequential tagging problem. A supervised sequential learner (e.g., MEMMs or CRFs) can be trained with manually annotated texts.
- Each document is processed sequentially and each token is labeled with respect to event roles.
  - B (beginning) and I (inside) tags are needed for each role.

For example: B<sub>PERP</sub>, I<sub>PERP</sub>, B<sub>VICTIM</sub>, I<sub>VICTIM</sub>, B<sub>WEAPON</sub>, I<sub>WEAPON</sub>

 Common features: words, POS tags, dependency relations, semantic types, and a small context window of preceding/ following words.

# Sequence Tagging Example

| Alleged guerrilla urban commandos                                       | s launched two                                    |
|---|---|
| B <sub>PERP</sub> I <sub>PERP</sub> I <sub>PERP</sub> I <sub>PERP</sub> | O B <sub>WEAPON</sub>                             |
| highpower bombs against a   | car dealership in                                 |
| I <sub>WEAPON</sub> I <sub>WEAPON</sub> O B <sub>T</sub>                | <sub>GT</sub> I <sub>TGT</sub> I <sub>TGT</sub> O |
| downtown San Salvador this  | morning .   |
| B <sub>LOC</sub> I <sub>LOC</sub> I <sub>LOC</sub> B <sub>DAT</sub>     | <sub>"E</sub> I <sub>DATE</sub>                   |

# Weakly Supervised Learning for IE

- <u>Idea:</u> can we train an IE system using only unannotated texts?
- Yes, if we have "preclassified" texts:
  - One pile of relevant texts
  - One pile of irrelevant texts
  - Manual review of ranked patterns
- Much easier than annotating texts!

#### Relevant Irrelevant



# AutoSlog-TS [Riloff 96] (Step 1)

[The World Trade Center], [an icon] of [New York City], was horrifically attacked on [an otherwise beautiful day] in [September 2001] by [Al Qaeda].



# AutoSlog-TS (Step 2)



## **Top Terrorism Extraction Patterns**

- 1. <subject> exploded
- 2. murder of <np>
- 3. assassination of <np>
- 4. <subject> was killed
- 5. <subject> was kidnapped
- 6. attack on <np>
- 7. <subject> was injured
- 8. exploded in <np>
- 9. death of <np>
- 10. <subject> took\_place
- 11. caused <dobi>
- 12. claimed <dobj>
- 13. <subject> was wounded

- 14. <subject> occurred
- 15. <subject> was located
- 16. took place on <np>
- 17. responsibility for <np>
- 18. occurred on <np>
- 19. was wounded in <np>
- 20. destroyed <dobj>
- 21. <subject> was murdered
- 22. one of <np>
- 23. <subject> kidnapped
- 24. exploded on <np>
- 25. <subject> died

## **Examples of Learned Disease Patterns**

outbreak of <np> <subj> spread cases of <np> <subj> was confirmed outbreaks of <np> <subj> was transmitted contracted <dobj> spread of <np> <subj> infected <subj> killed

# ExDisco [Yangarber et al. 2000] LeSeningatterns Extraction Pathamistated Relevant Irrelevant Syntactic Rules

best pattern ranked patterns

Manual Review

final patterns

# Event Extraction: Fantasy vs. Reality

**NLP Fantasy:** Every story is an event narrative, describing only the details of a specific event.



**NLP Reality:** Many stories focus on other issues but contain event information too.



News updates, investigative reports, political speeches, interviews, etc.

- speculation or identification of suspects
- apprehension of perpetrators
- claims of responsibility by perpetrators
- identification of victims (hospitals, bodies, etc.)
- recovered weapons

## Event Narrative Example

A bomb exploded today in a Lima restaurant, and a second device that had been placed in the same establishment was deactivated by the Peruvian national police.

There were no victims, and the explosion caused very little damage to the restaurant, which is located in the commercial area of the residential district of Miraflores.

Guerrillas of the Tupac Amaru Revolutionary Movement (MRTA) have claimed credit for the terrorist act through pamphlets they left on the premises, according to the police.

# **Secondary Contexts**

The victims were identified as David Lecky and James Donnelly.

Oqueli's body was found next to the body of Gilda Flores.

According to witnesses' reports, two 23 to 25-year-old individuals walked to Gen. Leigh's office, on the fourth floor of the building.

The destroyed UCR headquarters is in the Moreno district of Buenos Aires.

Cardenas Guerra is apparently linked to the Medellin drug cartel.

There were seven children, including four of the Vice President's children, in the home at the time.

# **Event Keywords**

Keywords alone are not as reliable as you might think due to ambiguity, metaphor, and context.

The comedian <u>bombed</u> at the club ... Parliament <u>exploded</u> in anger about ... Obama was <u>attacked</u> by House Republicans ...

# The Limitations of Event Keywords

#### Common types of civil unrest events:

| strike     | or air strike, military strike, baseball strike |
|------------|---|
| occupation | or military occupation, profession              |
| rally      | or car rally, tennis rally                      |
| riot       | or laughter ("he's a riot"), Riot Games         |
| march      | or the month of March                           |

In tweets with civil unrest event keywords, we found that only a small percentage actually described civil unrest:

| English: | 25% | (624/2500) |
|----------|-----|------------|
| Spanish: | 16% | (476/3000) |

# **Event Phrases**

Many civil unrest descriptions contain <u>no</u> event keywords, only multi-word event expressions. For example:

took to the streets gathered at the square packed the square amassed at the plaza thronged the capital halted work walked off the job stormed parliament

Subevent expressions can also be important to recognize: blocked a bridge, burned an effigy, the building, disrupted traffic, smashed windows

## Discourse-Guided Event Extraction [Huang & Riloff, AAAI 2012]

- Goal: use a structured sentence classifier to identify event contexts across sentences.
- The classifier can consider properties of both the current sentence and previous sentence.
- We define several types of discourse features to capture textual cohesion across sentences.

# Linker [Huang & Riloff 2012]



# **Role Filler Extractor**

- Train a machine learning classifier (SVM) to label noun phrases based on a small context window around the NP.
- The classifier contains 3 types of features:
  - lexical features (context window, head, premodifiers)
  - NER and semantic class labels
  - lexico-syntactic patterns

# Structured Sentence Classifier

- A sequential tagging model (CRF) is trained to label each sentence as to whether it is an event context.
- Four types of discourse features:
  - Lexical bridge features
  - Discourse bridge features
  - Discourse focus features
  - Role filler distribution features

# Penn Discourse Treebank

- The *Penn Discourse Treebank (PDTB)* contains texts that have been manually annotated with discourse relations.
- The annotations represent the argument structure, senses and attribution of discourse connectives and their arguments.
- *Explicit discourse connectives* require the presence of a discourse cue phrase, such as: *if, because, since, but, however, as a result*
- Implicit discourse connectives indicate that most readers would infer a discourse relation between adjacent sentences.
- Discourse parsers have been developed by training with the PDTB data.

# **Examples of Implicit Connectives**

- (68) Several leveraged funds don't want to cut the amount they borrow because it would slash the income they pay shareholders, fund officials said. But a few funds have taken other defensive steps. Some have raised their cash positions to record levels. <u>Implicit</u> = BECAUSE High cash positions help buffer a fund when the market falls. (0983)
- (69) The projects already under construction will increase Las Vegas's supply of hotel rooms by 11,795, or nearly 20%, to 75,500. Implicit = SO By a rule of thumb of 1.5 new jobs for each new hotel room, Clark County will have nearly 18,000 new jobs. (0994)

# Lexical Bridge Features

Lexical Bridge features capture lexical associations between adjacent sentences.

Two types: <verb<sub>i-1</sub>,verb<sub>i</sub>> <noun<sub>i-1</sub>,noun<sub>i</sub>>

#### Examples:

<bombed, injured><br/><explosion, building>

# **Discourse Bridge Features**

- Discourse relations between adjacent sentences, based on the PDTB discourse parser.
- Labels explicit discourse relations based on cue phrases (e.g., *if* and *because*)
- Labels implicit discourse relations such as cause, condition, instantiation, and contrast.
- We capture relations both within a sentence and between the current and previous sentence.

# **Discourse Focus Feature**

- Hypothesis: two sentences are probably related if they have the same discourse focus.
- Create a feature for each shared NP in adjacent sentences that occurs as a Subject, Direct Object, or PP(by).
  - (1) A customer in the store was shot by masked men.
  - (2) <u>The two men</u> used 9mm semi-automatic pistols.
    - → <men, PP(by), Subject>

# **Role Filler Distribution Features**

<u>Purpose:</u> capture information about the presence and types of possible role fillers in the neighborhood.

Features within a sentence:

- type and head noun of each candidate role filler
- density of candidate role fillers
- pairs of different types

Features across adjacent sentences:

- head and type of pairs across sentences
- candidates that share a discourse relation
- verb and candidate pairs across sentences

# **Evaluation Results**

| Method                    | Recall | Precision | F  |  |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------|----|--|
| Local Extractor           |        |           |    |  |
| Candidate RF<br>Detectors | 75     | 30        | 42 |  |

# **Evaluation Results**

| Method                              | Recall          | Precision | F  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----|--|--|
|                                     | Local Extractor |           |    |  |  |
| Candidate RF<br>Detectors           | 75              | 30        | 42 |  |  |
| with Structured Sentence Classifier |                 |           |    |  |  |
| Basic N-gram<br>Features            | 56              | 55        | 56 |  |  |
| +Extra Features                     | 60              | 58        | 59 |  |  |

# Individual Event Role Result

| System                    | PerpInd  | PerpOrg          | Target           | Victim           | Weapon           | Average          |
|---------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                           | L        | ocal Extracti    | ion Only         |                  |                  |                  |
| Candidate RF Detectors    | 25/67/36 | 26/78/39         | 34/83/49         | 32/72/45         | 30/75/43         | 30/75/42         |
|                           | with Str | ructured Sent    | ence Classif     | ier              |                  |                  |
| Basic feature set         | 56/54/55 | 47/46/46         | 55/69/ <b>61</b> | 61/57/59         | 58/53/56         | 55/56/56         |
| + Candidate RF features   | 51/57/54 | 47/47/47         | 54/69/60         | 60/58/59         | 56/60/58         | 54/59/56         |
| + Lexical Bridge features | 51/57/53 | 51/50/50         | 55/69/ <b>61</b> | 60/58/59         | 62/62/62         | 56/59/57         |
| + Discourse features      | 54/57/56 | 55/49/ <b>51</b> | 55/68/ <b>61</b> | 63/59/ <b>61</b> | 62/64/ <b>63</b> | 58/60/ <b>59</b> |
|                           |          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|                           |          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|                           |          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|                           |          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|                           |          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |

# Recent History of Event Extraction on the MUC-4 Terrorism Data Set

Event extraction performance has improved with more complex learning models:

| System                | Average (P/R/F) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| AutoSlog-TS (1996)    | 45/48/46        |
| <b>GLACIER (2009)</b> | 48/57/52        |
| TIER (2011)           | 51/62/56        |
| Linker (2012)         | 58/60/59        |

But progress has been in small increments ... there is still much room for improvement!