Lecture 27: Disks, Reliability, SSDs, Processors

- Topics: HDDs, SSDs, RAID, Intel and IBM case studies
- Final exam stats:
 - Highest 91, 18 scores of 82+
 - Every 15th score: 82, 76, 71, 62, 52
 - Hardest question: Q2 (no score over 8/10)
 - Q5: 2 perfect answers, 3 more nearly correct answers
 - Q8: More than half of you solved it correctly

- A magnetic disk consists of 1-12 *platters* (metal or glass disk covered with magnetic recording material on both sides), with diameters between 1-3.5 inches
- Each platter is comprised of concentric *tracks* (5-30K) and each track is divided into *sectors* (100 – 500 per track, each about 512 bytes)
- A movable arm holds the read/write heads for each disk surface and moves them all in tandem – a *cylinder* of data is accessible at a time

Disk Latency

- To read/write data, the arm has to be placed on the correct track – this seek time usually takes 5 to 12 ms on average – can take less if there is spatial locality
- Rotational latency is the time taken to rotate the correct sector under the head – average is typically more than 2 ms (15,000 RPM)
- Transfer time is the time taken to transfer a block of bits out of the disk and is typically 3 – 65 MB/second
- A disk controller maintains a disk cache (spatial locality can be exploited) and sets up the transfer on the bus (*controller overhead*)

- Reliability and availability are important metrics for disks
- RAID: redundant array of inexpensive (independent) disks
- Redundancy can deal with one or more failures
- Each sector of a disk records check information that allows it to determine if the disk has an error or not (in other words, redundancy already exists within a disk)
- When the disk read flags an error, we turn elsewhere for correct data

- RAID 0 has no additional redundancy (misnomer) it uses an array of disks and stripes (interleaves) data across the arrays to improve parallelism and throughput
- RAID 1 mirrors or shadows every disk every write happens to two disks
- Reads to the mirror may happen only when the primary disk fails – or, you may try to read both together and the quicker response is accepted
- Expensive solution: high reliability at twice the cost



- Data is bit-interleaved across several disks and a separate disk maintains parity information for a set of bits
- For example: with 8 disks, bit 0 is in disk-0, bit 1 is in disk-1, ..., bit 7 is in disk-7; disk-8 maintains parity for all 8 bits
- For any read, 8 disks must be accessed (as we usually read more than a byte at a time) and for any write, 9 disks must be accessed as parity has to be re-calculated
- High throughput for a single request, low cost for redundancy (overhead: 12.5%), low task-level parallelism

- Data is block interleaved this allows us to get all our data from a single disk on a read – in case of a disk error, read all 9 disks
- Block interleaving reduces thruput for a single request (as only a single disk drive is servicing the request), but improves task-level parallelism as other disk drives are free to service other requests
- On a write, we access the disk that stores the data and the parity disk – parity information can be updated simply by checking if the new data differs from the old data



- If we have a single disk for parity, multiple writes can not happen in parallel (as all writes must update parity info)
- RAID 5 distributes the parity block to allow simultaneous writes

- RAID 1-5 can tolerate a single fault mirroring (RAID 1) has a 100% overhead, while parity (RAID 3, 4, 5) has modest overhead
- Can tolerate multiple faults by having multiple check functions – each additional check can cost an additional disk (RAID 6)
- RAID 6 and RAID 2 (memory-style ECC) are not commercially employed

Error Correction in Main Memory

- Typically, a 64-bit data word is augmented with an 8-bit ECC word; requires more DRAM chips per rank and wider bus; referred to as SECDED (single error correction double error detection)
- Chipkill correct: a system that can withstand complete failure in one DRAM chip; requires significant overhead in cost, energy

- Technology cost-effective enough that flash memory can now replace magnetic disks on laptops (also known as solid-state disks – SSD)
- Non-volatile, fast read times (15 MB/sec) (slower than DRAM), a write requires an entire block to be erased first (about 100K erases are possible) (block sizes can be 16-512KB)

Case Study I: Intel Core Architecture

- Single-thread execution is still considered important ->
 - out-of-order execution and speculation very much alive
 - initial processors will have few heavy-weight cores
- To reduce power consumption, the Core architecture (14) pipeline stages) is closer to the Pentium M (12 stages) than the P4 (30 stages)
- Many transistors invested in a large branch predictor to reduce wasted work (power)
- Similarly, SMT is also not guaranteed for all incarnations of the Core architecture (SMT makes a hotspot hotter)

Case Study II: Intel Nehalem

- Quad core, each with 2 SMT threads
- ROB of 96 in Core 2 has been increased to 128 in Nehalem; ROB dynamically allocated across threads
- Lots of power modes; in-built power control unit
- 32KB I&D L1 caches, 10-cycle 256KB private L2 cache per core, 8MB shared L3 cache (~40 cycles)
- L1 dTLB 64/32 entries (page sizes of 4KB or 4MB), 512-entry L2 TLB (small pages only)



Nehalem Memory Controller Organization

Case Study III: IBM Power7

- 8 cores, 4-way SMT, 45nm process, 1.2 B transistors, ooo execution, 4.25 GHz
- 2-cycle 32KB pvt L1s, 8-cycle 256KB pvt L2
- 32 MB shared L3 cache made of eDRAM
- Nice article comparing Power7 and Sun's Niagara3:

http://arstechnica.com/business/news/2010/02/two-billion-transistor-beasts-power7-and-niagara-3.ars

• Spr'11: CS 7810: Advanced Computer Architecture

- Tu/Th 10:45am-12:05pm
- Designing structures within a core
- Cache coherence, TM, networks
- Lots of memory topics
- Major course project on evaluating original ideas with simulators (often leads to publications)
- No assignments
- Take-home final



Bullet