#### **Threads in Java**

To put code in a thread, extend the built-in **Thread** class and override **run**:

```
class HelloThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    System.out.println("hello");
  }
}
```

## **Threads in Java**

To run a thread, instantiate the class and call **start** (not **run**!):

```
Thread t1, t2;
t1 = new HelloThread();
t2 = new HelloThread();
t1.start();
t2.start();
try {
   t1.join();
   t2.join();
} catch (InterruptedException i) {
    System.exit(1);
}
```

#### **Thread-Local Data**

Use fields in the **Thread** class for thread-local data:

```
class HelloThread extends Thread {
    int id;
    HelloThread(int id) { this.id = id; }
    public void run() {
        System.out.println("hello from " + id);
    }
}
...
    t1 = new HelloThread(0);
    t2 = new HelloThread(1);
...
Copy
```

## **Concurrent Modification**

Modifying a variable from multiple threads is as wrong in Java as in C:

```
int counter = 0;
class CountThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    counter++; // unpredictable!
  }
}
```

Java's **synchronized** is similar to Peril-L's **exclusive**, but mutual exclusion is based on an object instead of a statement:

```
Integer counter = 0;
class CountThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    synchronized (counter) { // ok
    counter++;
    }
  }
}
```

Java's synchronized is similar to Peril-L's exclusive, but mutual exclusion is based on an object instead of a statement:

```
Object thing = new Object();
int counter = 0;
class CountThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    synchronized (thing) { // ok
    counter++;
    }
  }
}
```

Java's synchronized is similar to Peril-L's exclusive, but mutual exclusion is based on an object instead of a statement:

```
int counter = 0;
class CountThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    synchronized (this) { // wrong!
        counter++;
    }
  }
}
```

If a method has the **synchronized** attribute, then each call is implicitly wrapped with **synchronized**:

```
class Thing {
    int counter;
    public synchronized void inc() {
        counter++;
    }
  }
}...
Thing t = new Thing();
...
sychronized (t) { t.inc() }
t.inc(); // equivalent to previous line
...
Copy
```

If a method has arguments, however, the argument expressions are *not* included in the implicit **synchronized** 

Some standar classes, such as **Vector**, have only **synchronized** methods.

```
Vector counter = new Vector();
LinkedList counter2 = new LinkedList();
class CountThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    counter.add(this); // ok
    counter2.add(this); // not ok!
  }
}
```

Using only **synchronized** methods does not mean that your code is thread-safe:

```
Vector v = new Vector();
class CountThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    v.set(0, 1 + (Integer)v.get(0)); // wrong
  }
}
```

Using only **synchronized** methods does not mean that your code is thread-safe:

```
Vector v = new Vector();
class CountThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    v.set(0, 1 + (Integer)v.get(0)); // wrong
  }
}
```

Sometimes you need to get a value from one thread to another:

```
class PutThread extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    int v = new Random().nextInt();
    ... v ...; // send v
    System.out.println("sent " + v);
  }
}
class GetThread extends Thread {
 public void run() {
    int v = ...; // receive v
    System.out.println("got " + v);
  }
                                   Copy
```

Use synchronized?

```
Integer box;
class PutThread extends Thread {
  . . .
  synchronized (box) { box = v; }
  • • •
}
class GetThread extends Thread {
  . . .
  synchronized (box) { v = box; }
  . . .
}
                                   Copy
```

Doesn't ensure put before get!

Typical newbie "solution":

```
boolean ready;
int box;
class PutThread extends Thread {
  . . .
  box = v; ready = true;
  . . .
}
class GetThread extends Thread {
  . . .
  while (!ready) { Thread.sleep(10); }
  v = box;
  . . .
                                        Copy
```

Typical newbie "solution":

```
boolean ready;
  int box;
  class PutThread extends Thread {
     . . .
    box = v; ready = true;
     . . .
  }
not sync'ed [hread extends Thread {
    while (!ready) { Thread.sleep(10); }
    v = box;
     . . .
                                          Copy
```

Typical newbie "solution":

```
boolean ready;
  int box;
  class PutThread extends Thread {
     . . .
    box = v; ready = true;
     . . .
  }
                                    wasted cycles,
not sync'ed
            Thread extends Threa
                                   increased latency
    while (!ready) { Thread.sleep(10); }
    v = box;
                                          Copy
```

Java includes lots of data structures to solve these kinds of problems:

```
SynchronousQueue q;
class PutThread extends Thread {
  . . .
  q.add(v);
  . . .
}
class GetThread extends Thread {
  . . .
  v = (Integer)q.take();
  . . .
}
                                   Copy
```

From scratch, analogous to POSIX support:

```
boolean ready;
int box;
Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();
Condition nowReady = lock.newCondition();
class PutThread extends Thread {
  . . .
  lock.lock();
  box = v;
  ready = true;
  nowReady.signal();
  lock.unlock();
  . . .
}
                                          Copy
```

From scratch, continued:

```
class GetThread extends Thread {
    ...
    lock.lock();
    while (!ready) {
        nowReady.await();
    }
    v = box;
    lock.unlock();
    ...
} Copy
```