

# Part I

## Shplait vs. Algebra

$$4 * 3 + 8 - 7 \Rightarrow 12 + 8 - 7 \Rightarrow 12 + 1$$

## Shplait vs. Algebra

In Shplait, we have a specific order for evaluating sub-expressions:

$$4 * 3 + 8 - 7 \Rightarrow 12 + 8 - 7 \Rightarrow 12 + 1$$

## Shplait vs. Algebra

In Shplait, we have a specific order for evaluating sub-expressions:

$$4 * 3 + 8 - 7 \Rightarrow 12 + 8 - 7 \Rightarrow 12 + 1$$

In Algebra, order doesn't matter:

$$(4 \cdot 3) + (8 - 7) \Rightarrow 12 + (8 - 7) \Rightarrow 12 + 1$$

**or**

$$(4 \cdot 3) + (8 - 7) \Rightarrow (4 \cdot 3) + 1 \Rightarrow 12 + 1$$

## Algebraic Shortcuts

In Algebra, if we see

$$f(x, y) = x$$

$$g(z) = \dots$$

$$f(17, g(g(g(g(g(18))))))$$

then we can go straight to

17

because the result of all the  $g$  calls will not be used

## Algebraic Shortcuts

In Algebra, if we see

$$f(x, y) = x$$

$$g(z) = \dots$$

$$f(17, g(g(g(g(g(18))))))$$

then we can go straight to

17

because the result of all the  $g$  calls will not be used

But why would a programmer write something like that?

## Avoiding Unnecessary Work

```
fun layout_text(txt, w, h):  
  def lines:  
    // lots of work to flow a paragraph  
    ....  
  make_pict(w,  
            h,  
            fun (dc, x, y):  
              // draw paragraph lines  
              ....)  
  ...  
  def speech = layout_text("Four score...",  
                           800,  
                           600)  
  ...  
  pict_width(speech)
```

## Avoiding Unnecessary Work

```
fun read_all_chars(f):  
  if is_at_eof(f)  
    | []  
    | cons(read_char(f), read_all_chars(f))  
  
def content = read_all_chars(open_file(user_file))  
  
if first(content) == "#"  
  | process_file(rest(content))  
  | error(#'parser, "not a valid file")
```



## Recursive Definitions

```
fun numbers_from(n) :  
  cons(n, numbers_from(add1(n)))  
  
def nonneg = numbers_from(0)  
list_get(nonneg, 10675)
```

## Lazy Evaluation

Languages like Shplait, Java, and C are called **eager**

- An expression is evaluated when it is encountered

## Lazy Evaluation

Languages like Shplait, Java, and C are called **eager**

- An expression is evaluated when it is encountered

Languages that avoid unnecessary work are called **lazy**

- An expression is evaluated only if its result is needed

## Part 2

## Lazy Evaluation in Shplait

Use

```
#lang shplait  
~lazy
```

to run a Shplait program with lazy evaluation

# Lazy Evaluation in Shplait

For coverage reports in DrRacket:

In the **Choose Language...** dialog, click **Show Details** and then **Syntactic test suite coverage**

(Works for both eager and lazy languages)

- Black means evaluated at least once
- Orange means not yet evaluated
- Normal coloring is the same as all black

## Part 3

## letrec Interpreter in Lazy Shplait

Doesn't work because result of `set_box` is never used:

```
fun interp(a, env):
  match a
  | ...
  | letrecE(n, rhs, body):
    let b = box(none()):
      let new_env = extend_env(bind(n, b),
                               env):
        set_box(b, some(interp(rhs, new_env)))
        interp(body, new_env)
```



## letrec Interpreter in Lazy Shplait

Working implementation is more direct:

```
fun interp(a, env):  
  match a  
  | ...  
  | letrecE(n, rhs, body):  
    letrec new_env = extend_env(bind(n, interp(rhs, new_env)),  
                                env):  
      interp(body, new_env)
```

## Part 4

## Lazy Language

```
<Exp> ::= <Int>  
        | <Symbol>  
        | <Exp> + <Exp>  
        | <Exp> * <Exp>  
        | fun (<Symbol>) : <Exp>  
        | <Exp> (<Exp>)
```

## Lazy Language

```
<Exp> ::= <Int>  
        | <Symbol>  
        | <Exp> + <Exp>  
        | <Exp> * <Exp>  
        | fun (<Symbol>) : <Exp>  
        | <Exp> (<Exp>)
```

```
(fun (x) : 0) (1 + (fun (y) : 2)) ⇒ 0
```

```
(fun (x) : x) (1 + (fun (y) : 2)) ⇒ error
```

## Lazy Language

```
<Exp> ::= <Int>  
        | <Symbol>  
        | <Exp> + <Exp>  
        | <Exp> * <Exp>  
        | fun (<Symbol>) : <Exp>  
        | <Exp> (<Exp>)
```

```
(fun (x) : 0) (1 + (fun (y) : 2)) ⇒ 0
```

```
(fun (x) : x) (1 + (fun (y) : 2)) ⇒ error
```

```
let x = 1 + (fun (y) : 2) :  
    0  
⇒ 0
```

## Part 5

## Implementing Laziness

Option #1: Run the interpreter in `shplait ~lazy!`

## Implementing Laziness

Option #1: Run the interpreter in `shplait ~lazy!`

```
fun interp(a, env):
  match a
  | ...
  | appE(fn, arg):
    match interp(fn, env)
    | closV(n, body, c_env):
      interp(body,
              extend_env(bind(n, interp(arg, env)),
                          c_env))
    | ~else: error('#interp, "not a function")
```

`n` never used  $\Rightarrow$  `interp` call never evaluated



## Implementing Laziness

Option #2: Use Shplait and explicitly delay `arg` interpretation

## Implementing Laziness

Option #2: Use Shplait and explicitly delay `arg` interpretation

```
fun interp(a, env):
  match a
  | ...
  | appE(fn, arg):
    match interp(fn, env)
    | closV(n, body, c_env):
      interp(body,
              extend_env(bind(n, delay(arg, env)),
                          c_env))
    | ~else: error(#'interp, "not a function")
```

## Thunks and Bindings

```
type Thunk
| delay(arg :: Exp,
         env :: Env)

type Binding
| bind(name :: Symbol,
       val :: Thunk)
```

## Implementing Laziness

```
fun interp(a, env):
  match a
  | ...
  ...
  | ...
  | appE(fn, arg):
    ...
    extend_env(bind(n, delay(arg, env)),
               c_env)
    ...
```

## Implementing Laziness

```
fun interp(a, env):  
  match a  
  | ...  
  | idE(s): force(lookup(s, env))  
  | ...  
  | appE(fn, arg):  
    ...  
    extend_env(bind(n, delay(arg, env)),  
              c_env)  
    ...
```

## Implementing Laziness

```
fun interp(a, env):
  match a
  | ...
  | idE(s): force(lookup(s, env))
  | ...
  | appE(fn, arg):
    ...
    extend_env(bind(n, delay(arg, env)),
               c_env)
    ...

fun force(t :: Thunk) :: Value:
  match t
  | delay(arg, env): interp(arg, env)
```

## Part 6

# Redundant Evaluation



## Redundant Evaluation

```
(fun (x) : x + x + x + x) (4 + 5 - 8 + 9)
```

How many times is `8 + 9` evaluated?

## Redundant Evaluation

```
(fun (x) : x + x + x + x) (4 + 5 - 8 + 9)
```

How many times is `8 + 9` evaluated?

Since the result is always the same, we'd like to evaluate

```
4 + 5 - 8 + 9
```

 at most once

## Caching Force Results

```
type Thunk
| delay(arg :: Exp,
         env :: Env,
         done :: Boxof(Optionof(Value)))
```

## Fix Up Interpreter

```
fun interp(a, env):  
  ....  
  | appE(fn, arg):  
    .... delay(arg, env, box(none())) ....
```

## Caching Force Results

```
fun force(t :: Thunk) :: Value:  
  match t  
  | delay(arg, env) : interp(arg, env)
```

## Caching Force Results

```
fun force(t :: Thunk) :: Value:  
  match t  
  | delay(arg, env) : interp(arg, env)
```

⇒

```
fun force(t :: Thunk) :: Value:  
  match t  
  | delay(arg, env, done) :  
    match unbox(done)  
    | none() :  
      let v = interp(arg, env) :  
        set_box(done, some(v))  
      v  
    | some(v) : v
```

## Part 7

# Terminology



## Terminology

***Call-by-value*** means eager

Shplait, Java, C, Python...

## Terminology

**Call-by-value** means eager

Shplait, Java, C, Python...

**Call-by-name** means lazy, no caching of results

... which is impractical

## Terminology

**Call-by-value** means eager

Shplait, Java, C, Python...

**Call-by-name** means lazy, no caching of results

... which is impractical

**Call-by-need** means lazy, with caching of results

Haskell, Clean...

# Terminology

**Normal order** vs **Applicative order**

... good terms to avoid