### CS 3100 – Models of Computation – Fall 2010 Notes for Lecture 15 around Assignment 6 - 10/19/2010

Topics:

- Reversing CFGs
- Obtaining NFA from purely right-linear CFGs
- Simplifying CFGs (also related to nullability discussed on Page 76)
- Chomsky normal form
- CFL Pumping Lemma
- Yacc
- CFG to PDA: see online material against L14 ("JFLAP files")
- PDA to CFG

# 1 Reversing CFGs

Given a string s, let  $s^R$  denote the reverse of s Given strings s and t,  $(st)^R = t^R s^R$ 

Applying this recursively,

 $(stu)^R = (tu)^R s^R = u^R t^R s^R$ 

This idea can be applied to CFGs:

S -> A B C | O D | E O | F O G | O H 2

can be turned into an Sr grammar as follows:

Sr -> Cr Br Ar | Dr 0 | 0 Er | Gr 0 Fr | 2 Hr 0

## 2 NFA from Purely right-linear

Reversing

We obtain

and an NFA

## 3 Simplifying CFGs

We can simplify this CFG as follows:

 $S \rightarrow A \mid B$   $A \rightarrow (W A \mid (X C)$   $B \rightarrow (W B \mid (X D)$   $P \rightarrow 0 Q \mid 2$   $Q \rightarrow P 0 \mid 3$   $W \rightarrow (W W \mid (X Y)$   $X \rightarrow (W X \mid (X Z)$   $W \rightarrow )$   $B \rightarrow e$ 

- Notice that C,D,Y,Z are *not* generating symbols (they can never generate any terminal string). Hence we can eliminate production RHS using them.
- W and B are generating (W  $\rightarrow$  ) and B  $\rightarrow$  e).
- X is not generating. Look at X -> ( W X. While ( is generating and W is generating, X on the RHS isn't generating we are doing a "bottom-up marking." The same style of reasoning applies also to X -> ( X Z.
- Even A is not generating!
- $\bullet\,$  While P and Q are generating, they are not reachable.

#### 3.1 Nullability

The algorithm for a generating non-terminal is similar to the following from Page 76 for nullable variables:

• Declare all variables (non-terminals) non-nullable.

- Repeat Go thru productions; if any has RHS empty or all entries are nullable, then mark the LHS variable nullable
- Until there is no increase in the set of nullable variables (non-terminals). (The book uses "variables"; we often use "non-terminals")

## 4 Chomsky Normal Form

- Get rid of all  $\varepsilon$  productions.
- Get rid of unit productions.
- Make productions binary.
- Move all terminals to unit productions.

#### 4.1 Derivation length

Derivation length for a string of length n: 2n - 1. So we can search all derivations systematically using dynamic programming.

#### 4.1.1 Cocke-Kasami-Younger (CKY) parsing algorithm

The CKY parsing algorithm uses *dynamic programming* in a rather elegant manner. Basically, given any string, such as  $0 \ 0 \ 1$ , and a Chomsky normal form grammar such as

 $S \rightarrow ST \mid 0$ 

 $T \rightarrow ST \mid 1$ , the following steps describe how we "par

the following steps describe how we "parse the string" (check that the string is a member of the language of the grammar):

- Consider *all possible* substrings of the given string of length 1, and determine all non-terminals which can generate them.
- Now, consider *all possible* substrings of the given string of length 2, and determine all pairs of non-terminals in juxtaposition which can generate them.
- Repeat this for strings of lengths 3, 4, ..., until the full length of the string has been examined.

```
Given string: 001
```

```
0 0 1

0 0 1

1 | | |

0 1 2 3 are the positions in the string. See who (which non-terminals) can

generate these positions.
```

```
Attempt to span position 0 thru 3.
```

0 0 0 0 {S} 1 {S} 1 {S} 1 a 1 {S} b c 2 {S} 2 {} {\$} 2 {} 2 b {S,T} {T} 3  ${S,T} {S,T} {T} 3$ d e f 3 d e {T} 3 d {S} can yield posn 0--1 and {S,T} can yield posn 1--3. The concat of  $\{S\}$  and  $\{S,T\}$  is  $\{SS, ST\}$ . Both S and T can yield ST. Neither can yield SS. Thus we mark the "1,3" "0,3" positions with {S,T}. We can now say that S can generate the string from position 0 thru 3. Hence parsed!

## 5 The CFL Pumping Lemma

Basic idea: Very long string needs very tall parse tree; therefore some non-terminal along the path repeats. Can do "switharoo" of non-terminals to pump trees!

Given any CFG  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ , there exists a number p such that given a string w in L(G) such that  $|w| \ge p$ , we can split w into w = uvxyz such that |vy| > 0 (one of v or y is non-empty),  $|vxy| \le p$ , and for every  $i \ge 0$ ,  $uv^ixy^iz \in L(G)$ .

```
S -> ( S ) | T | e
```

T -> [ T ] | T T | e.

Here is an example derivation:

```
S \Rightarrow (S) \Rightarrow ((T)) \Rightarrow (([T])) \Rightarrow (([]))
```

Occurrence-1 Occurrence-2

Occurrence-1 involves Derivation-1: T => [ T ] => [ ] Occurrence-2 involves Derivation-2: T => e

Here, the second  ${\tt T}$  arises because we took  ${\tt T}$  and expanded it into

[T] and then to [].

Now, the basic idea is that we can use Derivation-1 used in the first occurrence in place of Derivation-2, to obtain a longer string:

 $S \Rightarrow (S) \Rightarrow ((T)) \Rightarrow (([T])) \Rightarrow (([[T]])) \Rightarrow (([[T]]))$ 

Occurrence-1 Use Derivation-1 here

In the same fashion, we can use Derivation-2 in place of Derivation-1 to obtain a shorter string, as well:

 $S \implies (S) \implies ((T)) \implies (())$ 

Use Derivation-2 here