Checklist of all the things you've learned in CS 3100, Fall 2010
Also a small Mapping Reduction proof at the end
Handed out 12/9/10

Final Exam: The final exam will have closed-book multiple-choice short questions on all these topics below, for 50 minutes. Then after a 5-minute break, you'll be given a 60-minute long open-book exam on all the bold-faced topics plus the mapping reduction proof at the end (or small variants of this mapping reduction).

- [ ] **Designing simple FAs and Reg Exps**
- [ ] Identify strings in a given Reg Exp
- [ ] Basic notions about sets and strings (Powerset etc)
- [ ] FA, Reg Exp conversions
- [ ] DFA to Reg Exp conversion
- [ ] **DFA minimization** (studied much later)
- [ ] FA operations (intersection, reversal, etc), and whether closure is guaranteed
- [ ] Why some languages are not regular; Pumping lemma
- [ ] **Alternate characterization of regularity: Ultimate periodicity** and "lasso shapes" for minimal DFA (studied much later) over a singleton alphabet
- [ ] Midterm examined the above, esp. exp growth of NFA/DFA conversion, etc.
- [ ] Flex experiments
- [ ] PDA design using JFLAP; NPDA, DPDA
- [ ] What JFLAP helps you do: freeze configurations, watch non-determinism evolve, Pumping Lemma tutor, conversions from DFA to RE, etc.
- [ ] **Designing simple CFGs**
- [ ] CFG consistency, completeness, simplification
- [ ] Pumping Lemma for CFLs
- [ ] Why certain CFLs are not closed under complementation
- [ ] Parsing using dynamic programming using the Chomsky normal form of a CFG (the table filling idea)
- [ ] CFG to PDA and back
- [ ] The Chomsky normal form; why it guarantees certain derivation lengths
- [ ] General story of pumping: not an iff theorem
- [ ] Yacc based design of calculator
- [ ] Linearity of CFGs, and what it means

- [ ] PDA and CFG operations (union, intersection, etc.) and whether closure is guaranteed
- [ ] The LBA classification (briefly) and context sensitive languages
- [ ] **Designing simple Turing machines (DTM, NDTM, multi-tape TM).**
- [ ] Language classifications: RE, Recursive, etc. and what it means
- [ ] Basic results: Universality of CFGs being undecidable; emptiness being decidable; status of grammar equivalence (decidable or not)
- [ ] Printer TM and decider TM, conversions
- [ ] Self referential statements, self-denying TMs S_{TM} being undecidable
- [ ] Favorite sets : A_{TM} etc. and status of decidability
- [ ] Diagonalization. Use in cardinality comparison
- [ ] Notion of onto and into functions
- [ ] **Schröder-Bernstein Theorem and its uses to compare cardinalities**
- [ ] Cardinality based arguments to show there are non-RE languages
- [ ] Proof of undecidability of the Halting problem. Two approaches: diagonalization proof, and proof based on S_{TM}.
- [ ] **Time-complexity classes NP, P, NPC. What a non-deterministic algorithm is.**
- [ ] Mapping reductions: what they are.
- [ ] Mapping reductions for showing undecidability
- [ ] **Mapping reductions for showing NP-completeness: the SAT to Clique reduction.**
- [ ] **BDDs for simple Boolean functions.**
- [ ] BDDs as minimal DFA
- [ ] BDDs used to express Logic
- [ ] BDDs to synthesize circuits using multiplexors
- [ ] How many Boolean functions over N inputs
- [ ] How to use a 4-to-1 mux to implement all possible 2-input Boolean functions
- [ ] Variable ordering
- [ ] What it means for a problem to be NP-complete
- [ ] **How Regular, DCFL, CFL, CSL, NPC, Decidable, RE, non-RE are contained.**

Show that EQ_{TM} is not RE.
Proof: Build two machines M_1 which always rejects and M_2 which accepts any x so long as M accepts w. Then we have achieved $A_{TM} \leq_m \overline{EQ_{TM}}$. This means $\overline{A_{TM}} \leq_m EQ_{TM}$. Thus, EQ_{TM} can't be RE (else we will have an enumerator for $\overline{A_{TM}}$.